

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,977	04/28/2005	Lily Ka-Lai Cheng	120270.129854	3081
28440 WARNER NO	7590 03/05/2009 ORCROSS & JUDD		EXAM	UNER
IN RE: ALTICOR INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 111 LYON STREET, N. W. STE 900			TORRES RUIZ, JOHALI ALEJANDRA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	IDS, MI 49503-2489	2838		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/532,977
 CHENG ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ
 2838

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(2) Richard V. Muralidar.  Date of Interview: 2/24/2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference cy ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes if Yes, brief description: ☐ 2) ☐ No.  If Yes, brief description: ☐ 2) ☐ No.  Claim(s) discussed: Claim 41.  Identification of prior art discussed: Sapo (U.S. Patent Number 6.803.744).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference. Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	(1) JOHALI A. TORRES RUIZ.	(3) <u>Charles F. Burpee</u> .
Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference c)  Personal (copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  # Yes, brief description:	(2) Richard V. Muralidar.	(4)
c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) Applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Pss e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: Claim 41.  Identification of prior art discussed: Sapo (U.S. Patent Number 6,803,744).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference, Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Date of Interview: 2/24/2009.	
If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: Claim 41.  Identification of prior art discussed: Sapo (U.S. Patent Number 6,803,744).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference. Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713,04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview.		2)⊠ applicant's representative]
Identification of prior art discussed: Sapo (U.S. Patent Number 6.803.744).  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference. Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview		e)⊠ No.
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference. Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Claim(s) discussed: Claim 41.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference.</u> Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record there years are represented by the summary of Record the represented by the summary of Rec	Identification of prior art discussed: Sapo (U.S. Patent N	umber 6,803,744) .
reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed proposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference.</u> <u>Applicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig. 9 embodiments in his response.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)  N/A.
	reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant discussed prapplicant will consider suggestion to incorporate the Fig.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attact.  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MULLING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE.	roposed amendments to overcome the Sabo reference.  9 embodiments in his response.  Indments which the examiner agreed would render the claims ocpy of the amendments that would render the claims ned.)  EACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS RE OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS UTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

/Akm Enayet Ullah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2838	
	/Akm Enayet Ullah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2838